

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/604,259	07/07/2003	Gale L. Sindt	03S1657	1258
	590 11/05/2004		EXAMINER	
SIMMONS, PERRINE, ALBRIGHT & ELLWOOD, P.L.C. THIRD FLOOR TOWER PLACE			JOHNSON, JONATHAN J	
22 SOUTH LIN	NN STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
IOWA CITY, IA 52240		•	1725	
	•		DATE MAILED: 11/05/200/	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/604,259	SINDT, GALE L.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Jonathan Johnson	1725	
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports. The MAILING DATE of this communication apports.	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed  ys will be considered timely.  n the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. & 133)	
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 Ju	ıly 2003.		
2a)	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the merits is	
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Dispositi	on of Claims			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Applicati	on Papers	·		
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•		
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o		·	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction		• •	
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign    All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori  application from the International Bureau  see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received.  have been received in Application  ty documents have been received  (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	n the certified copies not receive	u.	
	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
3) 🛛 Inform	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 7-7-03.	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	ite atent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/604,259

Art Unit: 1725

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-15 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Vance (3,763,914). Vance teaches a material removing apparatus, configured to remove material from a spinning tire mounted on a vehicle (Figure 1, item 18), when said material removing apparatus encounters a first portion of said spinning tire; and, an adjusting mechanism, coupled to and configured to permit adjustment of said material removing apparatus, so as to cause said material removing apparatus to be in a position to effect a change in shape of said spinning tire (figure 1, item 12); a plurality of cutting members extending laterally across a substantial portion of a road surface engaging portion of a rotating tire on a vehicle (figure 1, item 18); and, a rotating meshing member coupled to said plurality of cutting members so that an advancement of said rotating meshing member increases a depth of material removal from said tire by said plurality of cutting tools (figure 1, item 18); wherein said material removing apparatus is configured to remove material along a line which is parallel to a surface of said spinning tire and parallel to an axis of rotation of said spinning tire (figure 1, item 18); said system is free from any apparatus configured to cause said spinning tire to spin (figure 1, items 18 and 12); said material removing apparatus is a linear plurality of sharp edges (figure 1, item 18); wherein said linear plurality of

Application/Control Number: 10/604,259

Art Unit: 1725

sharp edges extends a substantial distance with respect to a width characteristic of said spinning tire (figure 1, item 18 width); wherein said adjusting mechanism is coupled to a portion of a vehicle which is configured to cause said spinning tire to spin (figure 1, item 18); wherein said adjusting mechanism is configured to provide continuously variable positioning of said material removing apparatus with respect to said first portion of said spinning tire (figure 1, item 18); wherein said adjusting mechanism is a meshing member which is configured to advance said material removing apparatus fore and aft with respect to said spinning tire figure 1, item 18; wherein said vehicle could be a lift truck (figure 1, item 18); wherein said meshing member is a threaded rod (figure 3, item 18 when viewed horizontally);

## Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-20 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 2 of U.S. Patent No. 6,308,762. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claim 2 of U.S. Patent No. 6,308,762 anticipates the claims of the instant application.

Application/Control Number: 10/604,259

Art Unit: 1725

#### Claim Allowance

Claims 17-19 would be allowable if the obviousness-type double patenting rejection was overcome.

Claim 16 would be allowable if the obviousness-type double patenting rejection was overcome and objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The instant application is deemed to be directed to a nonobvious improvement over the invention patented in Pat. No. 3,763,914. The improvement comprises a system for reshaping a tire mounted while it is mounted on a vehicle that causes the tire to return to a more circular shape.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonathan Johnson whose telephone number is 571-272-1177. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7AM-5:30 PM.

Art Unit: 1725

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on 571-272-1171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jonathan Johnson

Examiner

Art Unit 1725